

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,605	08/20/2003	Edward Williams	GOEA 02316 C1US	5988
32233	7590 10/28/2004		EXAMINER	
STORM & HEMINGWAY, L.L.P:			EDGAR, RICHARD A	
8117 PRESTO	ON RD.			
STE. 460			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, TX 75225			3745	:.,

DATE MAILED: 10/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/644,605	WILLIAMS, EDWARD \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Richard Edgar	3745				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 October 2004.						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23-39</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) 32-35 is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23-31 and 36-39</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 October 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		•				
Attachment(s)		, J				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date		te atent Application (PTO-152)				
	<del> </del>					

Application/Control Number: 10/644,605

Art Unit: 3745

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to new claims 23-39 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 23-25, 27-31 and 36-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Japanese unexamined Patent Application Hei 02-011899 (Hayashi et al. hereinafter).

Hayashi et al. show a fan assembly comprising two circular plates, a plurality of fan blades forming radial channels with the two circular plates, wherein the blades have a material secured thereto which has a layer of ceramic tiles secured thereto. The plates and tiles cause a turbulence which slows the gas rate down.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/644,605

Art Unit: 3745

Claim 26 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese unexamined Patent Application Hei 02-011899 (Hayashi et al. hereinafter) as applied to claim 23 above, and further in view of United States Patent No. 4,884,820 (Jackson et al. hereinafter).

Hayashi et al. show the use of ceramic tiles for withstanding a high temperature environment of gases, but do not recite the use of a metal carbide.

Jackson et al. show a blade exposed to high temperature combustion gases having an area made of either ceramic or a metal carbide for the purpose of forming a labyrinth seal for high temperature gases.

Since Hayashi et al. show an impeller with ceramic tiles for handling high-temperature gases and Jackson et al. teach for high temperature gas environments a ceramic or metal carbide material may be used for forming a labyrinth seal, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the ceramic material of Hayashi et al. to be a metal carbide as taught by Jackson et al. for the purpose of forming a labyrinth seal for high temperature gases.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 32-35 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: All of the aforementioned claims require a novel arrangement of the tiles over the Hayashi et al. reference (Japanese unexamined Patent Application Hei 02-011899). As seen in Figure 2 of Hayashi et al., the first tile 27 of the second pair positioned

Art Unit: 3745

horizontally along the plates, does not abut the circular side member 23. Instead, the first tile 27 abuts the second tile 28 of the second pair. Hayashi et al. use a single layer of ceramic tiles, wherein some tiles have a thickness greater than the other tiles, to cause a turbulence along the joint, whereas, Applicant uses a second layer of ceramic tiles to create the turbulence producing joint.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

#### **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard Edgar whose telephone number is (703) 305-0050. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 8:00 am until 4:00 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Look can be reached on (703) 308-1044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard Edgar Examiner Art Unit 3745

RE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700